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#### Abstract

Let $p$ be an odd prime, $k, A \in \mathbb{Z}, p \nmid A, d=(p-1, k), d_{1}=$ $(p-1, k-1), s=(p-1) / d, t=(p-1) / d_{1}, \mathbb{E}$ the set of even residues in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}=\mathbb{Z} /(p), \mathbb{O}$ the set of odd residues, and $N_{k}=\#\left\{x \in \mathbb{E}: A x^{k} \in \mathbb{O}\right\}$. We give several estimates of $N_{k}$, each of different strength depending on the size and parity of $s, t$ and $k$. In particular we show that $N_{k} \sim \frac{p}{4}$ provided that $k$ is even and the set of all $k$-th powers is uniformly distributed, or $k$ is odd and $d_{1}=o(p)$. We also show that if $t$ and $|A|$ are both small odd numbers then $N_{k} \sim\left(1-\frac{1}{A t}\right) \frac{p}{4}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $p$ be an odd prime, $\mathbb{Z}_{p}=\mathbb{Z} /(p), \mathbb{E}$ the set of even residues in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $\mathbb{O}$ the set of odd residues,

$$
\mathbb{E}=\{2,4,6, \ldots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \quad \mathbb{O}=\{1,3,5, \ldots, p-2\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

with characteristic functions $\chi_{\mathbb{E}}, \chi_{\mathbb{O}}$ respectively. Lehmer posed the problem of determining the number $N_{-1}$ of even residues with an odd multiplicative inverse $(\bmod p)\left[17\right.$, Problem F12]. One expects $N_{-1} \sim p / 4$ and this was proven by Zhang [30]. Here, we consider the more general problem of determining the number $N_{k}$ of even residues such that $A x^{k}$ is odd,

$$
N_{k}=N_{k}(A)=\#\left\{x \in \mathbb{E}: A x^{k} \in \mathbb{O}\right\}
$$

where $k, A$ are any integers with $p \nmid A$, (with the convention that $x^{-1}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of $x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ ). Lehmer's original problem is just the case $k=-1, A=1$. The Goresky-Klapper conjecture [14] on the decimation of $\ell$ sequences amounts to proving that $N_{k}>0$ for $p>13$ and $(k, p-1)=1$.

For the general case one does not always have $N_{k}$ asymptotic to $p / 4$. Two parameters play a key role in the determination of $N_{k}$,

$$
d:=(k, p-1), \quad \text { and } \quad d_{1}:=(k-1, p-1)
$$

and their companion values,

$$
s:=\frac{p-1}{d}, \quad \text { and } \quad t:=\frac{p-1}{d_{1}} .
$$

We find for instance (Example 9.1) that if $t$ and $|A|$ are both small odd numbers then $N_{k} \sim\left(1-\frac{1}{A t}\right) \frac{p}{4}$. In other words, the probability that an even residue becomes odd under the mapping $x \rightarrow A x^{(p+t-1) / t}$ is asymptotic to $\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{A t}\right)$. On the other

[^0]hand, if both $s$ and $k$ are even then we have exactly $N_{k}=(p-1) / 4$; Theorem 1.3. We also show that $N_{k} \sim \frac{p}{4}$ provided that $k$ is even and the set of all $k$-th powers is uniformly distributed, or $k$ is odd and $d_{1}=o(p)$; Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Let $e_{p}(\cdot)=e^{2 \pi i \cdot / p}$ denote the additive character on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and set

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(k)=\max _{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \\
a \neq 0}}\left|\sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(a x^{k}\right)\right|,  \tag{1.1}\\
\Phi(k, 1)=\max _{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \\
a b \neq 0}}\left|\sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(a x^{k}+b x\right)\right|, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(k)=\max _{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \\ a \neq 0}}\left|\sum_{x=1}^{(p-1) / 2} e_{p}\left(a x^{k}\right)\right| . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Erdös-Turan inequality we prove (Section 2),
Theorem 1.1. For any integer $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right|<\frac{1}{\pi} \Phi^{\prime}(k) \min \left\{\log \left(\frac{356 p}{\Phi^{\prime}(k)}\right), \log (5 p)\right\} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k$ is even then

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(k)=\frac{1}{2} \Phi(k) .
$$

If $k$ is odd then

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(k) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi(k)+\frac{1}{\pi} \log (5 p) \Phi(k, 1)
$$

Since $x \log x \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we see that if $k$ is even then $N_{k} \sim p / 4$ provided that $\Phi(k)=o(p)$, that is, the set of all $k$-th powers is uniformly distributed. This phenomena fails when $k$ and $t$ are both odd. Indeed, one can have $(k, p-1)=1$, so that the set of $k$-th powers is all of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, but not have $N_{k} \sim p / 4$; see Example 1.2. In the original Lehmer problem, where $k=-1$, we have $\Phi(-1)=1$, and $\Phi(-1,1) \leq 2 \sqrt{p}$, the Kloosterman sum bound, whence Theorem 1.1 gives the result of Zhang, $\left|N_{-1}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll \sqrt{p} \log ^{2} p$. Note, since $\Phi^{\prime}(k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{p+1}$ (see (2.1)), the second option in (1.4) is only useful for small $p \leq 20,277$.
Open Problem 1. How large must $s$ be in order to have $\Phi(k)=o(p)$, (where $s$, as defined above, denotes the number of $k$-th powers in $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)$ ? It is known, by the work of Bourgain [4], that there exists a constant $c$ such that $\Phi(k)=o(p)$ for $s>p^{\frac{c}{\log \log p}}$; see Section 5. It is also known that with $s \approx \log p$ one has $\Phi(k) \approx p$. The conjectured bound of Montgomery, Vaughan and Wooley [25], $\Phi(k) \ll \sqrt{d p \log p}$ gives $\Phi(k)=o(p)$ provided $\frac{\log p}{s} \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

For odd $k$ we can bypass the concern of large $\Phi(k)$.
Theorem 1.2. a) If $k$ is odd and $t$ is even then

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq 0.35 p^{89 / 92} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p)
$$

b) If $k$ is odd and $t$ is odd then

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll d_{1}+\frac{p}{\log p}
$$

Thus, if $k$ is odd we have $N_{k} \sim p / 4$ provided $d_{1}=o(p)$. If $d_{1}$ is on the order of $p$ in size, this can fail to happen; see Theorem 1.5. The proof of Theorem 1.2, given in Section 8, uses Theorem 1.1 as well as Theorems 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 and 5.1 below, and a small refinement given in Section 6.

There remain the cases where $k$ is even and $s$ is very small (so that $\Phi(k) \neq o(p)$ ), and when $k$ is odd and $t$ is very small (so that $d_{1} \neq o(p)$ ). To get a feeling for what one should expect in these cases we consider a couple of examples.

Example 1.1. Small $s$. If $k=p-1$, then $x^{k}=1$ identically and so $N_{k}=0$ or $\frac{p-1}{2}$, depending on whether $A$ is even or odd. If $k=\frac{p-1}{2}$, then $x^{k}= \pm 1$. Since $A$ and $-A$ have opposite parity and roughly half of the even residues are quadratic residues, one gets $N_{k}=(p-1) / 4$ ( $k$ even) or $N_{k} \sim p / 4$ ( $k$ odd). If $k=\frac{p-1}{3}$ and $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ are the cube roots of unity then $A x^{k} \equiv A C_{1}, A C_{2}$ or $A C_{3}(\bmod p)$, and one obtains $N_{k}=0, \frac{p-1}{6}, \frac{p-1}{3}$, or $\frac{p-1}{2}$ depending on the number of these values that are odd; see Theorem 1.3 (a).

The following theorem treats the case of small $s$. When $k$ is even we find that $N_{k}$ is exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ times the proportion of $k$-th powers $C_{i}$ with $A C_{i}$ odd. If $s$ is also even this proportion is $1 / 2$ since -1 is then a $k$-th power and so we get $N_{k}=\frac{p-1}{4}$. When $k$ is odd we get $N_{k} \sim p / 4$ for $s$ sufficiently small.
Theorem 1.3. Let $k, A$ be any integers with $p \nmid A$ and $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{k}=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s}\right\}$.
a) If $k$ is even then $N_{k}=\frac{p-1}{2 s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i}\right)$. In particular, if $k$ is even and $s$ is even then $N_{k}=\frac{p-1}{4}$.
b) If $k$ is odd then $\left|N_{k}-\frac{p-1}{4}\right|<\frac{s-1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{p} \log (5 p)$.

Next, we deal with the case where $t$ is small.
Example 1.2. Small $t$. Suppose $k=\frac{p+1}{2}$, so that $t=2$. Then $A x^{k} \equiv A x$ or $-A x$ $(\bmod p)$ according as $x$ is a quadratic residue or not. Thus one expects half of the even residues to remain even and half to become odd; indeed, Corollary 1.1 gives $\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll \sqrt{p} \log ^{2} p$.

If $k=\frac{p+2}{3}$, so that $t=3$, then $A x^{k} \equiv A C_{1} x, A C_{2} x$ or $A C_{3} x(\bmod p)$, where again $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ are the cube roots of unity. In effect, the problem becomes linearized, and as we see in Section 7, we need to examine the distribution of points on the lattices $y \equiv A C_{i} x(\bmod p)$. If none of the lattices have a small point $(0<\max (|x|,|y|) \ll 1)$ then we find (Theorem 1.5) that the even and odd values are equidistributed and so $N_{k} \sim p / 4$, but if one of the lattices has a small point, bias may occur. For $k=\frac{p+2}{3}$, we find that $N_{k}$ is asymptotically somewhere between $\frac{p}{4}-\frac{p}{12}$ and $\frac{p}{4}+\frac{p}{12}$, depending on the size of the minimal point.

Let

$$
\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{k-1}=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{t}\right\}
$$

and for any $C \in \mathbb{Z}, p \nmid C$, let $F(C)$ denote the number of even residues $x$ such that $C x$ is odd,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(C)=\sum_{x} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}(C x) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 4 we prove
Theorem 1.4. For any $k$ and $A$ with $p \nmid A$

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}(t-1) \sqrt{p} \log ^{2}(5 p)
$$

Corollary 1.1. For any $k$ and $A$ with $p \nmid A$,

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p-1}{4}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}(t-1) \sqrt{p} \log ^{2}(5 p), & \text { if } t \text { is even }  \tag{1.6}\\ \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}(t-1) \sqrt{p} \log ^{2}(5 p)+\frac{p}{\pi^{2} t} \log ^{2}(5 p)+\frac{1}{4}, & \text { if } t \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Thus $N_{k} \sim p / 4$ in the range $\log ^{2+\epsilon} p<t<\sqrt{p} / \log ^{2+\epsilon} p$. For very small odd $t$ more work is required. On average $F(C)$ is $p / 4$ and our interest is in estimating the discrepancy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{C}:=F(C)-\frac{p}{4} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Trivially $\left|\delta_{C}\right| \leq \frac{p}{4}$. The value of $\delta_{C}$ depends on the distribution of points in the lattice

$$
\mathcal{L}_{C}:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: y \equiv C x \quad(\bmod p)\right\} .
$$

Let $|(x, y)|_{0}=\max (|x|,|y|)$,

$$
\lambda_{C}=\min \left\{|(x, y)|_{0}:(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_{C},(x, y) \neq(0,0)\right\}
$$

and $\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)$ denote a minimal point with $\left|\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)\right|_{0}=\lambda_{C}$. Put $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{A C_{i}}$, $\delta_{i}=\delta_{A C_{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq t$ and let $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ be a point in $\mathcal{L}_{A C_{i}}$ with $\left|\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right|_{0}=\lambda_{i}$. Reorder the $C_{i}$ so that

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{t}
$$

In particular, $\lambda_{1}=1$ if and only if $A C_{1}= \pm 1$, in which case we can take $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=$ $(1, \pm 1)$. In Lemma 7.2 we give the estimate

$$
\left|\delta_{C}\right|<\frac{\pi^{2} p}{12\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right|}+\sqrt{2 p} \log p+\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Another estimate for the discrepancy is given in Lemma 9.1. If $t$ is odd we show that only $\delta_{1}$ can have any effect on the asymptotic value of $N_{k}$, establishing in Section 7,

Theorem 1.5. a) If $t$ is odd, then

$$
\left|N_{k}-\left(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{\delta_{1}}{t}\right)\right| \ll \frac{p}{\log p}+t \sqrt{p} \log ^{2} p
$$

b) If $t>1$ is odd and $t \ll \log p$ then

$$
\left|N_{k}-\left(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{\delta_{1}}{t}\right)\right| \ll \frac{p^{1-\frac{1}{2(t-1)}}}{t}
$$

Remarks. 1. In [5] the authors proved that if $d=1$, then for $p$ sufficiently large, $N_{k}>0$, resolving a conjecture of Goresky and Klapper [14]; see also [15], [16]. The theorems above generalize this result to the following cases: (i) $k$ is any odd integer, $t>1$, and $p$ is sufficiently large (Theorem 1.2 for $t$ even, or $t$ odd and $t \gg \log p$, Theorem 1.5 for $t$ odd and $t \ll \log p$ ); (ii) $k$ is even, $p$ is arbitrary, and $A x^{k}$ is odd for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ (Theorem $1.3(a)$ ); such is the case if $s$ is even
(Theorem $1.3(a)$ ), or if $s$ is odd and $\Phi(k) \leq p / 5.24$, (Theorem 1.1, for in this case $\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right|<\frac{p}{4}$.).
2. Yuan and Zhang [29] studied the number of times $x^{k}(\bmod q)$ and $x^{-k}$ $(\bmod q)$ have the same parity $\bmod q$ for general $q$ and $(x, q)=1$, obtaining the asymptotic value $\phi(q) / 2+O_{k}\left(q^{3 / 4} d(q)^{1 / 2}(\log q)^{2}\right)$. We note that the constant in the big- $O$ depends on $k$, although the dependence is not indicated. Shparlinski [27] generalized their result to systems of congruences.
3. Louboutin, Rivat and Sárközy [22] studied the related problem of determining when $x$ and $x^{-1}$ have the same parity over an interval, showing that for any $\epsilon>0$ and any interval $I$ of length $|I|>p^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$, as $x$ runs through $I$, the probability that $x$ and $x^{-1}$ have the same parity tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. They also studied the pseudorandomness of the sequence $(-1)^{x+x^{-1}}$ (taking $x, x^{-1} \in\{1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$ ).

Open Problem 2. When does $N_{k}=0$ ? More specifically, when is $k$ even and $x^{k}$ odd for all nonzero $x$ ? Example: If $p=\left(3^{s}-1\right) / 2$ and $k=(p-1) / s$ then the group of $k$-th powers $G_{k}$ is just $<3>=\left\{1,3,3^{2}, \ldots, 3^{s-1}\right\}$, all odd, and $s \approx \log p$. More can be said if $G_{k}$ contains a pair of multiplicatively independent integers $a, b$. In this case, by the work of Furstenberg [13] and more specifically Bourgain, Lindenstrauss, Michel and Venkatesh [8, Theorem 1.10] there exists a constant $c(a, b)$ such that if $p>c(a, b)$ then every coset of the subgroup $\langle a, b\rangle$ contains both even and odd residues, and therefore so does every coset of $G_{k}$. Indeed, for any integer $x$ with $p \nmid x$ there will exist both even and odd residues of the type $x a^{i} b^{j}$ with $0<i, j<3 \log p$.

Open Problem 3. How large can $s$ be and still have a disproportionate number of even or odd values in the set of $k$-th powers? This has direct implications on a lower bound for $\Phi(k)$. Indeed, if $\left(k_{n}, p_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of exponents $k_{n}$ and prime moduli $p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $N_{k_{n}} \nrightarrow p_{n} / 4$, then $\Phi\left(k_{n}\right) / p_{n} \nrightarrow 0$.

## 2. The Erdös-Turan inequality and proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we employ a version of the Erdös-Turan inequality for estimating $N_{k}$. For any sequence of points $S=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ set

$$
\Phi_{S}=\max _{p \nmid y}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} e_{p}\left(y x_{n}\right)\right| .
$$

Since $\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} e_{p}\left(y x_{n}\right)\right|^{2} \geq N(p-N)$, for $N<p$ we note the lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{S} \geq \sqrt{\frac{N(p-N)}{p-1}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our goal is to estimate the number of points in $S$ contained in a given interval $I=\{a+1, a+2, \ldots, a+M\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, with $M \leq p$. The simplest approach is to use the characteristic function $\chi_{I}$ of the interval, with Fourier expansion $\chi_{I}(x)=$ $\sum_{y=1}^{p} a_{I}(y) e_{p}(y x)$, where

$$
a_{I}(0)=M / p, \quad a_{I}(y)=p^{-1} e_{p}\left(\left(-a-\frac{M}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right) y\right) \frac{\sin (\pi M y / p)}{\sin (\pi y / p)}, \quad y \neq 0
$$

Now

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{y} a_{I}(y) e_{p}\left(y x_{n}\right)=a_{I}(0) N+\sum_{y \neq 0} a_{I}(y) \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_{p}\left(y x_{n}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{M N}{p}\right| \leq \Phi_{S} \sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right| . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Lemmas 11.1 and 11.2 we prove that for any interval $I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right| \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \log p+0.35 \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \log (3 p) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that for any interval of length $M=(p \pm 1) / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \log p+0.482<\frac{1}{\pi} \log (5 p) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we deduce that for any interval $I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{M N}{p}\right| \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \log (3 p) \Phi_{S} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that when $M=\frac{1}{2}(p \pm 1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{M N}{p}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \log (5 p) \Phi_{S} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can improve this estimate for large $p$ by using a smooth approximation to the characteristic function, leading to an Erdös-Turan type inequality. At the end of Section 10 we prove

Theorem 2.1. For any sequence $S=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, interval $I=\{a+1, a+$ $2, \ldots, a+M\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and positive integer $H<p$,

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{M N}{p}\right| \leq \frac{N}{H+1}-\frac{N}{p}+\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\log H+\gamma+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \Phi_{S}
$$

where $\gamma=0.57721$.. is Euler's constant. If $M=\frac{p \pm 1}{2}$ we have the sharper bound

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{N}{2}\right| \leq \frac{N}{H+1}+\frac{1}{\pi}(\log H+\gamma+\pi+\log 2) \Phi_{S}
$$

Corollary 2.1. For any sequence $S=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and interval $I=\{a+$ $1, a+2, \ldots, a+M\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$,

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{M N}{p}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\log \left(N / \Phi_{S}\right)+1+\gamma+\frac{\pi}{2}+\log (\pi / 2)\right) \Phi_{S}
$$

and if $M=\frac{1}{2}(p \pm 1)$ and $\pi N / \Phi_{S}<p$ then

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{N}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\log \left(N / \Phi_{S}\right)+1+\gamma+\pi+\log (2 \pi)\right) \Phi_{S}
$$

We note that the corollary improves on (2.5) and (2.6) when $p^{2 / \pi} \Phi_{S}>18.18 N$ and $p \Phi_{S}>140.79 \mathrm{~N}$, respectively.

Proof of Corollary. Set $H=\left[\frac{\pi N}{2 \Phi_{S}}\right]$. If $N \leq \frac{2}{\pi} p \Phi_{S}$, then $H<p$ and we can apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain the first upper bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 \Phi_{S}}{\pi} & +\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\log \left(\frac{\pi N}{2 \Phi_{S}}\right)+\gamma+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \Phi_{S} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \Phi_{S}\left(\log \left(N / \Phi_{S}\right)+1+\gamma+\frac{\pi}{2}+\log (\pi / 2)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $N>\frac{2}{\pi} p \Phi_{S}$ the corollary follows from (2.5). For the second inequality we similarly take $H=\left[\frac{\pi N}{\Phi_{S}}\right]<p$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $x_{n} \equiv A 2^{k-1} n^{k}(\bmod p), 1 \leq n \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$, and

$$
I^{\prime}=\{-1,-2, \ldots,(-(p-1) / 2)\}
$$

Let $I=I^{\prime}$ or $I^{\prime} \cup\{0\}$. Note that for either choice of $I, x_{n} \in I$ is equivalent to $A(2 n)^{k} \in \mathbb{O}$ and so $N_{k}=\sum_{n=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)$.

With $\Phi^{\prime}(k)$ as in (1.3), from (2.1) we have the lower bound $\Phi^{\prime}(k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{p+1}$. We assume that $p \geq 7$ (otherwise the bounds are worse than the trivial bound $p / 4$ ).

Taking $I=I^{\prime} \cup\{0\}$, so that $M=(p+1) / 2$, we obtain, in the manner of (2.6),

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)}{4 p}\right| \leq \sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right| \Phi^{\prime}(k)
$$

Hence, using Lemma 11.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{8 e^{\gamma} p}{\pi}\right)+E(p)\right) \Phi^{\prime}(k)+\frac{1}{4 p}<\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{8 e^{\gamma} p}{\pi}\right) \Phi^{\prime}(k) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since,

$$
E(p)+\frac{1}{4 p \Phi^{\prime}(k)}<-\frac{0.192}{p}+\frac{1}{2 p \sqrt{p+1}}<0
$$

This gives the second inequality in (1.4).
Checking that $\pi N / \Phi_{S}<\pi \frac{1}{2}(p-1) / \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{p+1}<p$, Corollary 2.1 gives

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{(p-1)}{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\log \left((p-1) / 2 \Phi^{\prime}(k)\right)+1+\gamma+\pi+\log (2 \pi)\right) \Phi^{\prime}(k)
$$

and so

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\log \left(p / \Phi^{\prime}(k)\right)+1+\gamma+\pi+\log \pi\right) \Phi^{\prime}(k)+\frac{1}{4}
$$

From the second inequality in (1.4) we can assume that $\Phi^{\prime}(k)>71.2$. The first inequality

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(356 p / \Phi^{\prime}(k)\right) \Phi^{\prime}(k)
$$

now follows on observing that $1+\gamma+\pi+\log \pi+\frac{\pi}{4} / 71.2<5.8746$.

If $k$ is even then trivially $\Phi^{\prime}(k)=\frac{1}{2} \Phi(k)$. For odd $k$ we have with $I=\{1,2, \ldots,(p-$ 1) $/ 2\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x=1}^{(p-1) / 2} e_{p}\left(y x^{k}\right) & =\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi_{I}(x) e_{p}\left(y x^{k}\right)=\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e_{p}\left(y x^{k}\right) \sum_{z} a_{I}(z) e_{p}(z x) \\
& =a_{I}(0) \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e_{p}\left(y x^{k}\right)+\sum_{z \neq 0} a_{I}(z) \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e_{p}\left(y x^{k}+z x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so by (2.4),

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(k) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi(k)+\frac{1}{\pi} \log (5 p) \Phi(k, 1) .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

When $s$ is small, that is, the number of $k$-th powers is small, then we have no nontrivial estimates available for $\Phi(k)$, and so an alternate method is required to estimate $N_{k}$. Let $d=(k, p-1), s=(p-1) / d$, and

$$
\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{k}=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s}\right\}
$$

be the set of nonzero $k$-th powers. Let $c_{i}$ be a value such that $c_{i}^{k} \equiv C_{i}(\bmod p)$, so that

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}=c_{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{s} \cup \cdots \cup c_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{s}
$$

Noting that $\chi_{\mathbb{O}}(x)=\chi_{\mathbb{E}}(-x)$, and that for $x \in c_{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{s}, x^{k}=C_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{k} & =\sum_{x} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A x^{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i}\right) \sum_{x \in c_{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{s}} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \\
& =\frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i}\right) \sum_{x \neq 0}\left(\sum_{\psi^{s}=\psi_{0}} \psi\left(\overline{c_{i}} x\right)\right) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \\
& =\text { Main }+ \text { Error, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Main }=\frac{p-1}{2 s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i}\right), \\
\text { Error }=\frac{1}{s} \sum_{\substack{\psi^{s}=\psi_{0} \\
\psi \neq \psi_{0}}} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i}\right) \psi\left(\overline{c_{i}}\right) \sum_{x \neq 0} \psi(x) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that Main $=\frac{p-1}{4}$ if -1 is a $k$-th power, that is, $s$ is even, for then the even and odd values can be paired, $A C_{i},-A C_{i}$. For the error term, the sum over $x$ is zero if $\psi(-1)=1$ since in this case $\sum_{x=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \psi(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} \psi(x)=0$. When $\psi(-1)=-1$ we appeal to the bound of Polya, Landau, Schur and Vinogradov for incomplete character sums.

Lemma 3.1. Let $I$ be any interval in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $\psi$ any nonprincipal character $(\bmod p)$. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{x=a+1}^{a+M} \psi(x)\right| \leq \sqrt{p} \sum_{y}|a(y)| \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{p} \log (3 p)
$$

and for intervals of length $M=(p \pm 1) / 2$,

$$
\left|\sum_{x=a+1}^{a+M} \psi(x)\right| \leq \sqrt{p} \sum_{y \neq 0}|a(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{p} \log (5 p)
$$

Slightly better bounds are available with greater effort; see Hildebrand [19] and Bachman and Rachakonda [1].

Proof. Letting $\chi_{I}$ be the characteristic function of $I$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x=a+1}^{a+M} \psi(x) & =\sum_{x} \psi(x) \chi_{I}(x)=\sum_{x} \psi(x) \sum_{y} a(y) e_{p}(y x) \\
& =a(0) \sum_{x} \psi(x)+\sum_{y \neq 0} a(y) \sum_{x} \psi(x) e_{p}(y x)=\sum_{y \neq 0} a(y) \sum_{x} \psi(x) e_{p}(y x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum over $x$ is just a Gauss sum of modulus $\sqrt{p}$. The lemma is now immediate from (2.3) and (2.4).

Thus for any nonprincipal $\psi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{x} \psi(x) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x)\right|=\left|\sum_{x=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \psi(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{p} \log (5 p) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k$ is even, then $\psi(-1)=1$ for all $\psi$ satisfying $\psi^{s}=\psi_{0}$ while if $k$ is odd then $\psi(-1)=1$ for exactly half of the $\psi$ satisfying $\psi^{s}=\psi_{0}$. Thus Error $=0$ if $k$ is even, and if $k$ is odd,

$$
\mid \text { Error } \left\lvert\,<\frac{s-1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{p} \log (5 p)\right.
$$

completing the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 4. Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.1

In this section we proceed as in the previous section, but using the set of $(k-1)$ th powers rather than the set of $k$-th powers. Let $d_{1}=(k-1, p-1), t=(p-1) / d_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{k-1}=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{t}\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the set of nonzero $(k-1)$-th powers. Let $c_{i}$ be a value such that $c_{i}^{k-1} \equiv C_{i}$ $(\bmod p)$, so that

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}=c_{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{t} \cup \cdots \cup c_{t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{t}
$$

Noting that $\chi_{\mathbb{O}}(x)=\chi_{\mathbb{E}}(-x)$, and that for $x \in c_{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{t}, x^{k}=C_{i} x$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{k} & =\sum_{x} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A x^{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{x \in c_{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{t}} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i} x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{x}\left(\sum_{\psi^{t}=\psi_{0}} \psi\left(\overline{c_{i} x} x\right)\right) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i} x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{x} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i} x\right)+\frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{\psi^{t}=\psi_{0} \\
\psi \neq \psi_{0}}} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{x} \psi\left(\overline{c_{i}} x\right) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i} x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right)+\text { Error, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F\left(A C_{i}\right)$ is as defined in (1.5) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Error }=\frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{\psi^{t}=\psi_{0} \\ \psi \neq \psi_{0}}} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{x} \psi\left(\overline{c_{i}} x\right) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A C_{i} x\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any nonzero $b, C$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x} \psi(b x) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(C x) & =\sum_{x}\left(\sum_{y} a_{\mathbb{E}}(y) e_{p}(y x)\right)\left(\sum_{z} a_{\mathbb{E}}(z) e_{p}(z C x)\right) \psi(b x) \\
& =\sum_{y} \sum_{z} a_{\mathbb{E}}(y) a_{\mathbb{E}}(z) G(y+C z, b),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G(y+C z, b)$ is the Gauss sum $G(y+C z, b)=\sum_{x} e_{p}((y+C z) x) \psi(b x)$, of modulus $\sqrt{p}$, unless $y+C z=0$ in which case it vanishes. Thus we obtain from (2.4)

$$
\left|\sum_{x} \psi\left(B^{-1} x\right) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}(C x)\right| \leq \sqrt{p} \sum_{y}\left|a_{\mathbb{E}}(y)\right| \sum_{z}\left|a_{\mathbb{E}}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \log ^{2}(5 p) \sqrt{p},
$$

and

$$
\mid \text { Error } \left\lvert\, \leq(t-1) \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \log ^{2}(5 p) \sqrt{p}\right.,
$$

completing the proof of Theorem 1.4.
Proof of Corollary 1.1. If $t$ is even, so that -1 is a $(k-1)$-th power, then by pairing $A C_{i}$ with $-A C_{i}$ and observing that $F\left(A C_{i}\right)+F\left(-A C_{i}\right)=\frac{p-1}{2}$ one gets $\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right)=\frac{p-1}{4}$ and so

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{(p-1)}{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}(t-1) \sqrt{p} \log ^{2}(5 p) .
$$

Suppose now that $t$ is any positive integer. Using the Fourier expansion for $\chi_{\mathbb{E}}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F(C)=\sum_{x} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(-C x) & =\sum_{y} \sum_{z} a_{\mathbb{E}}(y) a_{\mathbb{E}}(z) \sum_{x} e_{p}(y x-C z x) \\
& =p a_{\mathbb{E}}(0)^{2}+p \sum_{z \neq 0} a_{\mathbb{E}}(z) a_{\mathbb{E}}(C z) \\
& =\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4 p}+p G(C) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(C):=\sum_{z \neq 0} a_{\mathbb{E}}(z) a_{\mathbb{E}}(C z) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right)=\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4 p}+\frac{p}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} G\left(A C_{i}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{t} G\left(A C_{i}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{z \neq 0}\left|a_{\mathbb{E}}(z) a_{\mathbb{E}}\left(A C_{i} z\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{z \neq 0} \sum_{u \neq 0}\left|a_{\mathbb{E}}(z) a_{\mathbb{E}}(u)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \log ^{2}(5 p)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.5) and Theorem 1.4 we get

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4 p}\right| \leq \frac{p}{\pi^{2} t} \log ^{2}(5 p)+\frac{(t-1)}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{p} \log ^{2}(5 p)
$$

## 5. Estimates for monomial and binomial exponential sums

In order to apply Theorem 1.1 we need estimates for monomial and binomial exponential sums. Several are available. In [12, Theorem 2.2] Cochrane and Pinner proved the explicit monomial bounds,

Lemma 5.1. Suppose $k \mid(p-1)$. Put $\lambda=\frac{2}{\sqrt[4]{3}}=1.51967 \ldots$. Then

$$
\Phi(k) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
k p^{1 / 2}, & k<3 p^{1 / 3} \\
\lambda k^{5 / 8} p^{5 / 8}, & 3 p^{1 / 3} \leq k<p^{1 / 2} \\
\lambda k^{3 / 8} p^{3 / 4}, & p^{1 / 2} \leq k<\frac{1}{3} p^{2 / 3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The first bound is just the classical bound for a Gauss sum, while the second two are due to Heath-Brown and Konyagin [18] (with a big-O). Next to each bound we have indicated the interval where the estimate is optimal. Konyagin [20] established further bounds of this type, nontrivial for $k$ as large as $p^{3 / 4}$. There is also the recent $\epsilon-\delta$ bound of Bourgain and Konyagin [7] and Bourgain, Glibichuk and Konyagin [6]: For any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $\Phi(k)<p^{1-\delta}$ provided $d<p^{1-\epsilon}$. More recently Bourgain [4] has proved that

$$
\Phi(k)<p^{1-\exp \left(-C \frac{\log p}{\log ((p-1) / d)}\right)}
$$

for some absolute (undetermined) constant $C>1$.
For binomials there is an abundance of bounds available; see [11] and [12] for a discussion. In particular, Cochrane and Pinner established [12, Theorem 3.1],

Lemma 5.2. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\Phi(k, 1) \leq(k-1, p-1)+2.292 p^{89 / 92}
$$

We readily deduce from Theorem 1.1,
Theorem 5.1. a) For any integer $k$,

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d^{3 / 8} p^{3 / 4} \log p, \quad \text { if } k \text { is even } \\
\left(d_{1}+p^{89 / 92}\right) \log ^{2} p, \quad \text { if } k \text { is odd. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

b) For any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that if $d<p^{1-\epsilon}$ then

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right|<\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p^{1-\delta}, \quad \text { if } k \text { is even } \\
p^{1-\delta}+d_{1} \log ^{2} p, \quad \text { if } k \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Inserting the third estimate in Lemma 5.1 and the estimate of Lemma 5.2 into Theorem 1.1 proves part (a) for $k$ even, and for $k$ odd yields

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll d^{3 / 8} p^{3 / 4} \log p+\left(d_{1}+p^{89 / 92}\right) \log ^{2} p
$$

If $d<p^{40 / 69}$ then the first term is $\leq p^{89 / 92} \log p$ and we are done. If $d \geq p^{40 / 69}$ then $s<p^{29 / 69}$ and so by Theorem 1.3 , for $k$ odd,

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll s \sqrt{p} \log p<p^{127 / 138} \log p \ll p^{89 / 92} \log ^{2} p
$$

The $\epsilon-\delta$ bound of Bourgain [3] for $\Phi(k)$ and Lemma 5.2 give part (b) in the same manner.

## 6. Refinement of Theorem 5.1 for small $d$, $d_{1}$

In this section we obtain a slight improvement in the upper bound of Theorem 5.1. Let $\chi_{\mathbb{E}}$ be the characteristic function of $\mathbb{E}$ with Fourier expansion $\chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x)=$ $\sum_{y=0}^{p-1} a_{\mathbb{E}}(y) e_{p}(y x)$, Noting that $\chi_{\mathbb{O}}(x)=\chi_{\mathbb{E}}(-x)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{k}= & \sum_{x \neq 0} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}\left(A x^{k}\right)=\sum_{x \neq 0} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{E}}\left(-A x^{k}\right) \\
= & \left.\sum_{x \neq 0} \sum_{u} a_{\mathbb{E}}(u) e_{p}(u x) \sum_{v} a_{\mathbb{E}}(v) e_{p}\left(-A v x^{k}\right)\right)=\sum_{u} \sum_{v} a_{\mathbb{E}}(u) a_{\mathbb{E}}(v) \sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(u x-A v x^{k}\right) \\
= & (p-1) a_{\mathbb{E}}(0)^{2}+a_{\mathbb{E}}(0) \sum_{u \neq 0} a_{\mathbb{E}}(u) \sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}(u x) \\
& \quad+a_{\mathbb{E}}(0) \sum_{v \neq 0} a_{\mathbb{E}}(v) \sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(-A v x^{k}\right)+\sum_{u \neq 0} \sum_{v \neq 0} a_{\mathbb{E}}(u) a_{\mathbb{E}}(v) \sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(u x-A v x^{k}\right) \\
= & (p-1) a_{\mathbb{E}}(0)^{2}+a_{\mathbb{E}}(0)^{2}+E_{1}+E_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} & =a_{\mathbb{E}}(0) \sum_{v \neq 0} a_{\mathbb{E}}(v) \sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(-A v x^{k}\right), \\
E_{2} & =\sum_{u \neq 0} \sum_{v \neq 0} a_{\mathbb{E}}(u) a_{\mathbb{E}}(v) \sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(u x-A v x^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $a_{\mathbb{E}}(0)=|\mathbb{E}| / p=(p-1) / 2 p$, and so,

$$
p a_{\mathbb{E}}(0)^{2}=\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4 p} .
$$

If we proceed by using the bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \log (5 p) \Phi(k) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \log ^{2}(5 p) \Phi(k, 1) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

available by (2.4), then we just obtain

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log (5 p) \Phi(k)+\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \log ^{2}(5 p) \Phi(k, 1)
$$

a bound already seen in Theorem 1.1.
We can save an extra $\sqrt{\log p}$ by using an alternate bound for $E_{2}$ that we shall derive below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{1 / 4} \pi^{3 / 2}} p^{1 / 4} \mathbf{M}^{1 / 4} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}=\#\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{4}: x_{1}+x_{2}=x_{3}+x_{4}, x_{1}^{k}+x_{2}^{k}=x_{3}^{k}+x_{4}^{k}\right\} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding

## Proposition 6.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log (5 p) \Phi(k)+\frac{1}{2^{1 / 4} \pi^{3 / 2}} p^{1 / 4} \mathbf{M}^{1 / 4} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 6.1. Let $d=(k, p-1)$, $d_{1}=(k-1, p-1)$. If $d, d_{1}<1.68(p-1)^{16 / 23}$ then

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log (5 p) \Phi(k)+0.346041 p^{89 / 92} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p)
$$

Proof. Theorem 7.1 of [12] gives the estimate $\mathbf{M} \leq 27.57(p-1)^{66 / 23}$ provided that $d, d_{1}<1.68(p-1)^{16 / 23}$. The corollary is now immediate from the Proposition.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. It suffices to establish (6.3). To do this we follow the method of [5]. Let $a(y)=a_{\mathbb{E}}(y)$. Then

$$
\left|E_{2}\right| \leq \sum_{u \neq 0} \sum_{v \neq 0}|a(u) a(v)|\left|\sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(u x-A v x^{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{u^{\prime} \neq 0} \sum_{v^{\prime} \neq 0} \beta\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\left|\sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(u^{\prime} x+v^{\prime} x^{k}\right)\right|
$$

where $\beta\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{x \neq 0}\left|a\left(x u^{\prime}\right) a\left(A_{1} x^{k} v^{\prime}\right)\right|$, and $A_{1} A \equiv-1(\bmod p)$. Next, from Hölder's inequality
$\left|E_{2}\right| \leq\left(\sum_{u^{\prime}} \sum_{v^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{x \neq 0} e_{p}\left(u^{\prime} x+v^{\prime} x^{k}\right)\right|^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\sum_{u^{\prime} \neq 0} \sum_{v^{\prime} \neq 0} \beta\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\sum_{u^{\prime} \neq 0} \sum_{v^{\prime} \neq 0} \beta\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=E_{3}^{1 / 4} E_{4}^{1 / 2} E_{5}^{1 / 4} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

say.
Clearly, $E_{3}=p^{2} \mathbf{M}$, with $\mathbf{M}$ as in (6.4). Next, using (2.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{4} & =\sum_{u^{\prime} \neq 0} \sum_{v^{\prime} \neq 0} \beta\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{x \neq 0} \sum_{u^{\prime} \neq 0} \sum_{v^{\prime} \neq 0}\left|a\left(x u^{\prime}\right) a\left(A_{1} x^{k} v^{\prime}\right)\right|=\sum_{u \neq 0} \sum_{v \neq 0}|a(u) a(v)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \log ^{2}(5 p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $E_{5}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{5} & =\sum_{u^{\prime} \neq 0} \sum_{v^{\prime} \neq 0} \beta\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}} \sum_{x \neq 0} \sum_{y \neq 0} \sum_{u^{\prime} \neq 0} \sum_{v^{\prime} \neq 0}\left|a\left(x u^{\prime}\right) a\left(A_{1} x^{k} v^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|a\left(y u^{\prime}\right) a\left(A_{1} y^{k} v^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}<p \\
\left(v_{1} / v_{2}\right) \equiv\left(u_{1} / u_{2}\right)^{k} \\
(\bmod p)}}\left|a\left(u_{1}\right) a\left(v_{1}\right) \| a\left(u_{2}\right) a\left(v_{2}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq u_{1}, u_{2}, j<p}\left|a\left(u_{1}\right) a\left(j u_{1}^{k}\right)\right|\left|a\left(u_{2}\right) a\left(j u_{2}^{k}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq u_{1}, u_{2}<p}\left|a\left(u_{1}\right) a\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \sum_{j}\left|a\left(j u_{1}^{k}\right) a\left(j u_{2}^{k}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Parseval identity $\sum_{y}|a(y)|^{2}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{x} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}^{2}(x)=$ $\frac{p-1}{2 p}$ and (2.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{5} & \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq u_{1}, u_{2}<p}\left|a\left(u_{1}\right) a\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \sum_{j}|a(j)|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{1 \leq u_{1}, u_{2}<p}\left|a\left(u_{1}\right) a\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} p} \log ^{2}(5 p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (6.6) and the estimates for $E_{3}, E_{4}$ and $E_{5}$,
$\left|E_{2}\right| \leq\left(p^{2} \mathbf{M}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \log ^{2}(5 p)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} p} \log ^{2}(5 p)\right)^{1 / 4} \leq \frac{1}{2^{1 / 4} \pi^{3 / 2}} p^{1 / 4} \mathbf{M}^{1 / 4} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p)$.

## 7. Proof of Theorem 1.5

For any integer $C$ with $p \nmid C$, let $\mathcal{L}_{C}$ denote the lattice of integer points satisfying $y \equiv C x(\bmod p)$, so that

$$
G(C)=\sum_{\substack{(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_{C} \\ 0<\max (|x|,|y|)<p / 2}} a_{\mathbb{E}}(x) a_{\mathbb{E}}(y)
$$

where $G(C)$ is as defined in (4.4). Let $|(x, y)|_{0}=\max (|x|,|y|)$,

$$
\lambda_{C}=\min \left\{|(x, y)|_{0}:(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_{C},(x, y) \neq(0,0)\right\}
$$

and $\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)$ denote a minimal point in $\mathcal{L}_{C}$ with $\left|\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)\right|_{0}=\lambda_{C}$. Put $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{A C_{i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, where the $C_{i}$ are as in (4.1), and let $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ be a primitive point in $\mathcal{L}_{A C_{i}}$ with $\left|\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right|_{0}=\lambda_{i}$. By a primitive point in a lattice we mean a point with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=1$. Reorder the $C_{i}$ so that

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{t}
$$

In particular, $\lambda_{1}=1$ if and only if $A C_{1}= \pm 1$, in which case we can take $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=$ $(1, \pm 1)$. Let $\delta_{C}$ denote the discrepancy as in (1.7).
Lemma 7.1. If $\mathcal{L}_{C}$ has a primitive point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ with $\left|\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right|_{0}<\sqrt{p / 2}$ then any other point in $\mathcal{L}_{C}$ with $|(x, y)|_{0}<\sqrt{p / 2}$ is a multiple of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. In particular, $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)= \pm\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)$.
Proof. We have $y_{0} \equiv C x_{0}(\bmod p)$ and $y \equiv C x(\bmod p)$ and so $y_{0} x \equiv x_{0} y$ $(\bmod p)$. But $\left|y_{0} x-x_{0} y\right|<p$ and so $y_{0} x-x_{0} y=0$. The result follows from the assumption that $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is primitive.

Using the formula $\left[5\right.$, Section 2] $a_{\mathbb{E}}(x)=-\frac{1}{p} e^{\frac{-\pi x i}{p}} \frac{\sin (\pi x / p)}{\sin (2 \pi x / p)}$, one has

$$
\left|a_{\mathbb{E}}(x)\right|=\frac{1}{p}\left|\frac{\sin (\pi x / p)}{\sin (2 \pi x / p)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{|\sin (2 \pi x / p)|}
$$

Then from the inequality $|\sin (\pi x)| \geq 2|x|$ for $|x|<1 / 2$, we get

$$
\left|a_{\mathbb{E}}(\overline{2} x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2|x|}, \quad \text { for } \quad 0<|x|<p / 2
$$

where $\overline{2}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of $2(\bmod p)$. We break $\mathcal{L}_{C}$ into two sets, the multiples of $\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)$, and the remaining points $(x, y)$ all of which satisfy $|x| \geq \sqrt{p / 2}$ or $|y| \geq \sqrt{p / 2}$ by Lemma 7.1. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
&|G(C)| \leq \sum_{\substack{(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_{C} \\
0<\max (|x|,|y|)<p / 2}}\left|a_{\mathbb{E}}(\overline{2} x)\right|\left|a_{\mathbb{E}}(\overline{2} y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_{C} \\
0<\max (|x|,|y|)<p / 2}} \frac{1}{|x y|} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right|} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{2}}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_{C} \\
\sqrt{p / 2} \leq|y|<p / 2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p / 2}|x|}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_{C} \\
\sqrt{p / 2 \leq|x|<p / 2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p / 2}|y|} \\
&.1) \quad \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{12\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right|}+\frac{\sqrt{2} \log p}{\sqrt{p}} . \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We immediately deduce from (4.3),

Lemma 7.2. For any integer $C$ with $p \nmid C$ we have

$$
\left|F(C)-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{\pi^{2} p}{12\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right|}+\sqrt{2 p} \log p+\frac{1}{2}
$$

where, as above, $\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)$ is the minimal nonzero point of the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{C}$.
Lemma 7.3. Let $\delta_{1}=\delta_{A C_{1}}=F\left(A C_{1}\right)-\frac{p}{4}$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right)-\left(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{\delta_{1}}{t}\right)\right|<\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \frac{p}{t} \sum_{i=2}^{t} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right|}+\sqrt{2 p} \log p+\frac{1}{2}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right) & =\frac{1}{t} F\left(A C_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=2}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{\delta_{1}}{t}\right)+\theta_{1} \frac{\pi^{2} p}{12 t} \sum_{i=2}^{t} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right|}+\theta_{2} \sqrt{2 p} \log p+\frac{\theta_{3}}{2} \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $\left|\theta_{i}\right| \leq 1$.
As a consequence of Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 7.3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{k}-\left(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{\delta_{1}}{t}\right)\right| \ll \frac{p}{t} \sum_{i=2}^{t} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right|}+t \sqrt{p} \log ^{2} p \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are left with considering the distribution of the values $\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right|$. The following lemma is motivated by the ideas in Section 6 of Bombieri, Bourgain and Konyagin [2].

Lemma 7.4. Suppose that $t$ is odd.
a) Let $2 \leq b<(p / 2)^{1 / 4}$. The number of $t$-th roots of unity $C$ with $1 \leq\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right| \leq b$ is at most $(t-1)[\log (p / 2) / 2 \log b]^{-1}+1$.
b) Let $2 \leq b<(p / 2)^{1 / 8}$. The number of values $i$ with $1 \leq\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right| \leq b$ is at most $(t-1)[\log (p / 2) / 4 \log b]^{-1}+1$.

If $t$ is even the same result holds with +2 in place of the +1 .
Proof. (a) Suppose that $C$ is such a value with $2 \leq\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right| \leq b$. We assume here that the $x_{C}>0$. Then for any positive integer $n$ such that $\left|\left(x_{C}^{n}, y_{C}^{n}\right)\right|_{0} \leq\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right|^{n}<$ $\sqrt{p / 2}$, the point $\left(x_{C}^{n}, y_{C}^{n}\right)$ is a primitive point in the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{C^{n}}$ satisfying the condition of Lemma 7.1, and so $\left(x_{C}^{n}, y_{C}^{n}\right)=\left(x_{C^{n}}, y_{C^{n}}\right)$. Note that the values $C^{n}$ are distinct $t$-th roots of unity by Lemma 7.1. Now if powers from two different $C_{1}, C_{2}$ coincide, $\left(x_{C_{1}}^{r}, y_{C_{1}}^{r}\right)=\left(x_{C_{2}}^{s}, y_{C_{2}}^{s}\right)$ say, then $\left(x_{C_{1}}, y_{C_{1}}\right)=\left(x^{s / \operatorname{gcd}(r, s)}, \pm y^{s / \operatorname{gcd}(r, s)}\right)$, $\left(x_{C_{2}}, y_{C_{2}}\right)=\left(x^{r / \operatorname{gcd}(r, s)}, \pm y^{r / \operatorname{gcd}(r, s)}\right)$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ (and appropriate $\pm$ signs). Writing $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=a s+b r$ then $(x, \pm y)=\left(x_{C_{3}}, y_{C_{3}}\right)$ where $C_{3}=C_{1}^{a} C_{2}^{b}$ (for an appropriate $\pm \operatorname{sign})$. Thus both $\left(x_{C_{1}}, y_{C_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(x_{C_{2}}, y_{C_{2}}\right)$ are powers of $\left(x_{C_{3}}, y_{C_{3}}\right)$ (since $t$ is odd the $\pm$ sign is decided by the power) with plainly $\left|x_{C_{3}} y_{C_{3}}\right| \leq b$.

We may suppose then that the $\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)$ with $2 \leq\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right| \leq b$ consist of powers $\left(g_{i}^{j}, h_{i}^{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, u_{i}$, of a basic set of $\left(g_{i}, h_{i}\right), i=1, . ., v$, all of whose powers are distinct. Hence if there are $N$ values of $C$ with $2 \leq\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right| \leq b$

$$
N=\sum_{i=1}^{v} u_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{v}\left[\frac{\log b}{\log \left|g_{i} h_{i}\right|}\right]
$$

while the number of $\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)$ with $\left|x_{C} y_{C}\right|<\sqrt{p / 2}$ arising from taking powers of the $\left(g_{i}, h_{i}\right)$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{v}\left[\frac{\log \sqrt{p / 2}}{\log \left|g_{i} h_{i}\right|}\right]$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
t-1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{v}\left[\frac{\log \sqrt{p / 2}}{\log \left|g_{i} h_{i}\right|}\right] & =\sum_{i=1}^{v}\left[\frac{\log \sqrt{p / 2}}{\log b} \frac{\log b}{\log \left|g_{i} h_{i}\right|}\right] \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{v}\left[\frac{\log \sqrt{p / 2}}{\log b}\right]\left[\frac{\log b}{\log \left|g_{i} h_{i}\right|}\right]=N\left[\frac{\log \sqrt{p / 2}}{\log b}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows on including $C=1$.
For even $t$ the argument is similar except that the values occur in pairs $\left(x_{C}^{n}, \pm y_{C}^{n}\right)$.
(b) Suppose that $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, N$ are the minimal points satisfying $1 \leq$ $\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right| \leq b$. By definition, $y_{i} \equiv A C_{i} x_{i}(\bmod p)$. Then $x_{1} y_{i} \equiv \frac{C_{i}}{C_{1}} x_{i} y_{1}(\bmod p)$ where $C_{i} / C_{1}$ is a $t$-th root of unity. After removing any common factor from ( $x_{1} y_{i}, x_{i} y_{1}$ ) plainly $1 \leq\left|x_{C_{i} / C_{1}} y_{C_{i} / C_{1}}\right| \leq b^{2}$. The result now follows from part (a).

Lemma 7.5. (a) If $t>1$ is odd and $C \neq 1$ is a $t$-th root of unity $(\bmod p)$, then $\lambda_{C}>(p / t)^{1 /(t-1)}$.
(b) If $t>1$ is odd then $\lambda_{2} \geq(p / t)^{1 / 2(t-1)}$.

Proof. (a) Any point $(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_{C}$ must satisfy $x^{t} \equiv y^{t}(\bmod p)$ with $x \not \equiv y(\bmod p)$ and so

$$
x^{t-1}+x^{t-2} y+\cdots+x y^{t-2}+y^{t-1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) .
$$

Since the form on the left-hand side is positive definite (for odd $t$ ) the sum is at least $p$ and the result follow.
(b) Suppose that $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq(p / t)^{1 / 2(t-1)}$. Then as we observed above $\lambda_{C_{1} / C_{2}} \leq$ $(p / t)^{1 /(t-1)}$, contradicting part (a).

Lemma 7.6. If $t>1$ is odd, then

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{t} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right|} \leq \frac{8(t-1)}{\log (p / 2)} \frac{\log \left(\lambda_{2}^{2} e\right)}{\lambda_{2}} \ll \begin{cases}\frac{t}{\log p}, \quad \text { always } \\ \frac{1}{p^{1 / 2(t-1)}}, \quad \text { if } t \ll \log p\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Writing

$$
m_{j}=\left|\left\{i \geq 2:\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right|=j\right\}\right|
$$

we first note that for $x \geq \lambda_{2}$

$$
C(x):=\sum_{j \leq x} m_{j} \leq \frac{8(t-1)}{\log (p / 2)} \log \left(\lambda_{2} x\right)
$$

For $\lambda_{2} x \geq(p / 2)^{1 / 8}$ this follows from the trivial bound $C(x) \leq t-1$. For $\lambda_{2} x<$ $(p / 2)^{1 / 8}$ we use the fact that $[z] \geq z / 2$ for $z \geq 1$ to get $\left[\log (p / 2) / 4 \log \left(\lambda_{2} x\right)\right] \geq$ $\frac{1}{2} \log (p / 2) / 4 \log \left(\lambda_{2} x\right)$ and so by Lemma $7.4(b)$

$$
C(x) \leq C\left(\lambda_{2} x\right) \leq \frac{(t-1)}{\left[\frac{\log (p / 2)}{4 \log \left(\lambda_{2} x\right)}\right]} \leq \frac{8(t-1)}{\log (p / 2)} \log \left(\lambda_{2} x\right)
$$

(The +1 in Lemma 7.4 (b) can be omitted since, on this interval, $\left|x_{1} y_{1}\right| \leq \lambda_{2} x$ but $C\left(\lambda_{2} x\right)$ does not count $\left.\left|x_{1} y_{1}\right|.\right)$ Applying partial summation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^{t} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i} y_{i}\right|} & =\sum_{j=\lambda_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{m_{j}}{j}=\int_{\lambda_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{C(u)}{u^{2}} d u \\
& \leq \frac{8(t-1)}{\log (p / 2)} \int_{\lambda_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\log \left(\lambda_{2} u\right)}{u^{2}} d u=\frac{8(t-1)}{\log (p / 2)} \frac{\log \left(\lambda_{2}^{2} e\right)}{\lambda_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first upper bound in the lemma is immediate since $\log \left(\lambda_{2}^{2} e\right) / \lambda_{2}<1.2$. For the second inequality we use the lower bound for $\lambda_{2}$ in Lemma $7.5(b)$ to get $\lambda_{2} \geq$ $\frac{1}{3^{1 / 4}} p^{1 / 2(t-1)}$ (for $t \geq 3$ ), with this value at least 3 for $(t-1)<0.36 \log p$, and hence $\log \left(\lambda_{2}^{2} e\right) / \lambda_{2} \ll \log \left(p^{1 / 2(t-1)}\right) / p^{1 / 2(t-1)}$, for $(t-1) \leq 0.36 \log p$.

Finally, we note that the two bounds are the same for $t \approx \log p$.
We immediately deduce Theorem 1.5 from (7.3) and Lemma 7.6.

## 8. Proof of Theorem 1.2

(a) Suppose $k$ is odd and $t$ is even. If $p<10^{27}$ then we have trivially

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{p}{4}<0.35 p^{89 / 92} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s<(0.35)(2 \pi) p^{43 / 92} \log ^{1 / 2}(5 p)$ then by Theorem $1.3(b)$

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{s-1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{p} \log (5 p)<0.35 p^{89 / 92} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p),
$$

while if $t<(0.35) \pi^{2} p^{43 / 92} \log ^{-1 / 2}(5 p)$, then by Corollary 1.1

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{t-1}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{p} \log ^{2}(5 p)<0.35 p^{89 / 92} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p)
$$

Thus we may assume that $p \geq 10^{27}, d<0.454729 p^{49 / 92} / \log ^{1 / 2}(5 p)$ and $d_{1}<$ $0.248949 p^{49 / 92} \log ^{1 / 2}(5 p)$. Using the upper bound $\Phi(k) \leq \lambda d^{3 / 8} p^{3 / 4}$ (Lemma 5.1) we obtain from Corollary 6.1,
$\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right|<0.5+0.18 p^{699 / 736} \log ^{13 / 16}(5 p)+0.346041 p^{89 / 92} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p)<0.35 p^{89 / 92} \log ^{3 / 2}(5 p)$, for $p \geq 10^{27}$.
(b) Suppose next that $k$ is odd and $t$ is odd. By Theorem 1.3,

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll s \sqrt{p} \log p .
$$

By Theorem 1.1 and the bounds $\Phi(k) \ll d^{3 / 8} p^{3 / 4}, \Phi(k, 1) \ll d_{1}+p^{89 / 92}$,

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll d^{3 / 8} p^{3 / 4} \log p+\left(d_{1}+p^{89 / 92}\right) \log ^{2} p
$$

Using the first inequality if $d>p^{6 / 11}$ and the second if $d \leq p^{6 / 11}$ we obtain

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll\left(d_{1}+p^{89 / 92}\right) \log ^{2} p
$$

uniformly for odd $k$. If $d_{1}<p^{89 / 92}$ we keep this inequality. If $d_{1} \geq p^{89 / 92}$ we apply Theorem 1.5(a), and the trivial bound $\left|\delta_{1}\right| \leq p / 4$, to get

$$
\left|N_{k}-\frac{p}{4}\right| \ll \frac{\left|\delta_{1}\right|}{t}+\frac{p}{\log p}+p^{3 / 92} \sqrt{p} \log ^{2} p \ll d_{1}+\frac{p}{\log p}
$$

9. Estimates for $F(C)$ and the discrepancy $\delta_{C}$

Recall, $F(C)$ is the number of even residues $x$ such that $C x$ is odd,

$$
F(C)=\sum_{x} \chi_{\mathbb{E}}(x) \chi_{\mathbb{O}}(C x)
$$

and the discrepancy $\delta_{C}=F(C)-p / 4$. We can also talk about the complementary function $H(C)=F(-C)$ the number of even residues $x$ such that $C x$ is even. The following statements are evident,

$$
\begin{align*}
F(-C) & =\frac{p-1}{2}-F(C) \\
F(-1) & =\frac{p-1}{2}, \quad F(1)=0 \\
F(\bar{C}) & =F(C) \tag{9.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{C}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of $C(\bmod p)$.
Lemma 9.1. a) For any nonzero integer $C$ with $|C|$ even,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F(C)-\frac{p}{4}\right|<\frac{|C|+1}{2} \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) For any integer $C$ with $|C|$ odd,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F(C)-\left(1-\frac{1}{C}\right) \frac{p}{4}\right|<\frac{|C|}{2}+\frac{3}{4} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We shall prove the corresponding statements for $H(C)$. The statements are trivial if $|C| \geq p / 2$ so we may assume that $|C|<p / 2$. Moreover from the identity $H(-C)=\frac{p-1}{2}-H(C)$, it suffices to consider the case where $1 \leq C<p / 2$. Then $H(C)$ is the number of values of $n \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}\right\}$ such that $2 j p \leq 2 n C<(2 j+1) p$ that is, $\frac{j p}{C} \leq n<\frac{j p}{C}+\frac{p}{2 C}$ for some $j$ with $0 \leq j \leq[(C-1) / 2]$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(C)=\sum_{j=0}^{[(C-1) / 2]}\left[\frac{j p}{C}+\frac{p}{2 C}\right]-\left[\frac{j p}{C}\right] \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $[y] \leq[x]-[x-y] \leq[y]+1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{p}{2 C}\right]\left[\frac{C+1}{2}\right] \leq H(C) \leq\left(\left[\frac{p}{2 C}\right]+1\right)\left[\frac{C+1}{2}\right] \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|H(C)-\frac{p}{4}\right|<\frac{C}{2}, \quad 1<C<\frac{p}{2}, \quad C \text { even }  \tag{9.6}\\
\left|H(C)-\left(1+\frac{1}{C}\right) \frac{p}{4}\right|<\frac{C}{2}+\frac{1}{4}, \quad 1<C<\frac{p}{2}, \quad C \text { odd. } \tag{9.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 9.1. Suppose that $t>1$ is odd, $t \ll \log p$, and that for some $t$-th root of unity $C_{i}, A C_{i} \equiv m(\bmod p)$, where $m$ is an odd number satisfying $|m|<$ $(p / t)^{1 / 2(t-1)}$. Then $\left|\delta_{1}+\frac{p}{4 m}\right|<\frac{|m|}{2}+\frac{3}{4}$ and

$$
\left|N_{k}-\left(1-\frac{1}{m t}\right) \frac{p}{4}\right| \ll \frac{p^{1-\frac{1}{2(t-1)}}}{t} .
$$

In particular $N_{k} \sim\left(1-\frac{1}{m t}\right) \frac{p}{4}$ provided that $m=o\left(p^{\frac{1}{2(t-1)}}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose that $A C_{i} \equiv m(\bmod p)$ where $m$ is an odd number satisfying $|m|<$ $(p / t)^{1 / 2(t-1)}$. Since $(1, m) \in \mathcal{L}_{m}$ we have $\lambda_{A C_{i}}=\lambda_{m}=|m|<(p / t)^{1 / 2(t-1)}$. But then by Lemma $7.5, \lambda_{2}>\lambda_{A C_{i}}$. Therefore $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{A C_{i}}$ and so by (9.3) we obtain,

$$
\left|\delta_{1}+\frac{p}{4 m}\right|=\left|F(m)-\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{|m|}{2}+\frac{3}{4}
$$

Example 9.1. Suppose $A$ is an odd number with $|A|<(p / t)^{1 / 2(t-1)}$ and $t \ll \log p$. Then the hypotheses of the corollary hold with $C_{i}=1, m=A$ and so we get $N_{k} \sim\left(1-\frac{1}{A t}\right) \frac{p}{4}$.

Example 9.2. Let $t$ be a positive odd integer such that $3^{t}-1$ has a prime factor $p$ with $p>\sqrt{3^{t}-1}$. Then $p$ is such that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(3)=t$, where $t$ is an odd value with $t:=l \log _{3} p$, for some $l$ with $1<l<2$. Set $A=-1$. The $t$-th roots of unity $(\bmod p)$ are just $\left\{1,3,3^{2}, \ldots, 3^{t-1}\right\}$. For $0 \leq i<t / 2$ we have by (9.3)

$$
\left|F\left(-3^{i}\right)-\left(1+\frac{1}{3^{i}}\right) \frac{p}{4}\right|<\frac{3^{i}}{2}+\frac{3}{4}
$$

while for $i>t / 2$ we have by (9.1) and the fact that $3^{t} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$,

$$
F\left(-3^{i}\right)=F\left(-\overline{3}^{i}\right)=F\left(-3^{t-i}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right) & =\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} F\left(-3^{i}\right)=\frac{1}{t}\left[F(-1)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{t-1}{2}} F\left(-3^{i}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{t}\left[\frac{p-1}{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{t-1}{2}}\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{3^{i}}\right) \frac{p}{4}+\theta_{i}\left(\frac{3^{i}}{2}+\frac{3}{4}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{t}\left[\frac{p}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{4}(t-1)+\frac{p}{6}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon\right)+\theta 3^{\frac{t-1}{2}}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon\right)+\frac{3}{4} \theta t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon:=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^{(t-3) / 2}}<\frac{3^{3 / 2}}{2 \sqrt{p}}$. Thus,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} F\left(A C_{i}\right)-\left(1+\frac{2}{t}\right) \frac{p}{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{t} \frac{3}{2} 3^{(t-1) / 2}+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{\sqrt{p}}{t}<\frac{2 p^{l / 2}}{t}
$$

and by Theorem 1.4,

$$
\left|N_{k}-\left(1+\frac{2}{t}\right) \frac{p}{4}\right| \ll \frac{p^{l / 2}}{t}+\sqrt{p} \log ^{3} p
$$

On the other hand, $\delta_{1}=\frac{p}{4}-\frac{1}{2}$, and so Theorem 1.5 (a) gives $\left|N_{k}-\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{p}{4}\right| \ll$ $p / \log p$. This apparent discrepancy in the asymptotic estimate is explained by the fact that in this example $\frac{p}{t} \approx \frac{p}{l \log _{3} p}$, the same order of magnitude as the error term in Theorem 1.5 (a). In particular we see that the error estimate in Theorem 1.5 (a) cannot be improved.
10. The Erdös-Turan inequality and Proof of Theorem 2.1

The discrepancy of a finite sequence $S=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ of real numbers is given by

$$
D_{N}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right):=\sup _{I=[\alpha, \beta) \subset[0,1)}\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)-(\beta-\alpha)\right|
$$

The Erdös-Turan inequality states that there exist absolute constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that for any positive integer $H$,

$$
N D_{N}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \leq \frac{c_{1} N}{H+1}+c_{2} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{h}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} e\left(h x_{n}\right)\right|
$$

Kuipers and Niederreiter [21] obtained the admissible pair $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(6,4 / \pi)$, Montgomery [24] $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(1,3)$, Mauduit, Rivat and Sárközy [23] $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(1,1)$ and Rivat and Tenenbaum [26] $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(1,0.6528),\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(1.1435,2 / \pi)$, among others. The latter also proved that any such pair must satisfy $c_{1} \geq 1$ and $c_{2} \geq \frac{2}{\pi}$. Here we obtain these optimal constants, but in a slightly weaker form of the inequality. Restricting to intervals $I$ of length $\frac{1}{2}$, as in our applications, the constants can be reduced further.

Theorem 10.1. Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ be a sequence of real numbers and $H$ a positive integer. Put $\Phi=\max _{1 \leq h \leq H}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} e\left(h x_{n}\right)\right|$. If $I=[\alpha, \beta]$ is an interval in $[0,1]$ then

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)-N\right| I\left|\left\lvert\, \leq \frac{N}{H+1}+\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\log H+\gamma+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \Phi\right.\right.
$$

where $\gamma=0.57721$. is Euler's constant.
If $|I|=\frac{1}{2}$ then

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)-\frac{N}{2}\right| \leq \frac{N}{H+1}+\frac{1}{\pi}(\log H+\gamma+\pi+\log 2) \Phi
$$

Proof. The result follows readily from an inequality of Vaaler [28, Theorem 20, (8.3)],

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)-N\right| I\left|\left|\leq \frac{N}{H+1}+2 \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left(|\sin \pi h| I| | \frac{\hat{J}(h /(H+1))}{\pi h}+\frac{\hat{K}(h /(H+1))}{H+1}\right)\right| \sum_{n=1}^{N} e\left(h x_{n}\right)\right|,
$$

where by $[28,(2.28),(2,29)]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{J}(t)=\pi t(1-|t|) \cot (\pi t)+|t|, \quad \hat{K}(t)=(1-|t|), \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<|t|<1$.
Setting $m=H+1$, and observing that $0<\hat{J}(t)<1$ for $0<t<1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)-N\right| I|\mid & \leq \frac{N}{m}+2 \sum_{h=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{\pi h}+\frac{(1-h / m)}{m}\right) \Phi \\
& =\frac{N}{m}+2 \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\log (m-1)+\gamma+\int_{m-1}^{\infty} \frac{\{u\}}{u^{2}} d u\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{(m-1)}{m}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{N}{m}+\frac{2 \Phi}{\pi}\left(\log (m-1)+\gamma+\frac{\pi}{2}+E_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
E_{1}=\frac{1}{m-1}-\frac{\pi}{2 m}
$$

When $|I|=\frac{1}{2}$ then using the fact that $\sin (\pi h|I|)=0$ for even $h$, the sum $\sum_{h=1}^{m-1} 1 / h$ can be replaced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{h=1 \\
h o d d}}^{m-1} \frac{1}{h} & =\sum_{h=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{h}-\sum_{h=1}^{[(m-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2 h}=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{(m-1)^{2}}{[(m-1) / 2]}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \gamma+\int_{m-1}^{\infty} \frac{\{u\}}{u^{2}} d u-\frac{1}{2} \int_{[(m-1) / 2]}^{\infty} \frac{\{u\}}{u^{2}} d u \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\log (m-1)+\gamma+\log 2)+\frac{1}{2(m-1)}+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)}{\left[\frac{1}{2}(m-1)\right]}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)-\frac{N}{2}\right| \leq \frac{N}{m}+\frac{\Phi}{\pi}\left(\log (m-1)+\gamma+\log 2+\pi+E_{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
E_{2}=\frac{1}{(m-1)}+\log \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)}{\left[\frac{1}{2}(m-1)\right]}\right)-\frac{\pi}{m}
$$

The theorem follows since $E_{1}, E_{2}<0$ for $m \geq 3$ (the theorem is trivial for $m=2$, i.e. $H=1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\left(x_{1}+(p), \ldots, x_{N}+(p)\right)$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with the $x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We simply apply Theorem 10.1 to the sequence of reals $\left(\frac{x_{1}}{p}, \ldots, \frac{x_{N}}{p}\right)$. For any interval $I=\{a+1, \ldots, a+M\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $0<\delta<1$ there is a corresponding interval $I^{\prime}=\left[\frac{a+\delta}{p}, \frac{a+M+1-\delta}{p}\right]$ in $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ of length $\left|I^{\prime}\right|=(M+1-2 \delta) / p$. So we have

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)-N\right| I^{\prime}| |=\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I^{\prime}}\left(\left\{x_{n} / p\right\}\right)-N\right| I^{\prime}| |
$$

For the first inequality we take $\delta \rightarrow 1,\left|I^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow(M-1) / p$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0,\left|I^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow(M+1) / p$ to obtain the stated upper and lower bounds respectively for $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{I}\left(x_{n}\right)$. When $M=\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ or $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)$ we can take $\delta=\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$ giving $\left|I^{\prime}\right|=\frac{1}{2}$ and the second inequality. The results are now immediate, observing that the value $\Phi$ in Theorem 10.1 satisfies $\Phi \leq \Phi_{S}$.

## 11. A trigonometric sum of Vinogradov

In this section we discuss the estimation of the Vinogradov sum

$$
\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right|=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|\frac{\sin (\pi M y / p)}{\sin (\pi y / p)}\right|
$$

which was needed in Sections 2, 4 and 6 . As before, the $a_{I}(y)$ are the Fourier coefficients of the characteristic function of an interval $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $|I|=M$.

Lemma 11.1. For any prime $p$ and interval I we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right| \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \log p+0.35 \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \log (3 p) \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimate is a slight improvement on the upper bound given in [9, Theorem 1].

Proof. The bound is trivial for $M=p$ so we may assume $1 \leq M<p$. Cochrane and Peral [10, Proposition] obtained the asymptotic formula (11.2)

$$
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|\frac{\sin (\pi M y / p)}{\sin (\pi y / p)}\right|=\frac{4}{\pi^{2}}(\log (4 p / \pi)+\gamma)+\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ p \nmid j}}^{\infty} \frac{\log |\sin (\pi j M / p)|}{4 j^{2}-1}+E^{\prime}(p, M)
$$

where $\gamma$ is Euler's constant and

$$
E^{\prime}(p, M)=E(p, M)-\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}(\log (4 p / \pi)+\gamma) \sum_{p \mid j} \frac{1}{4 j^{2}-1}
$$

with

$$
\frac{-4}{\pi^{2} p} \leq E(p, M) \leq \frac{1}{3 \pi^{2}} \sum_{p \nmid j} \frac{1}{R(j M)^{2}\left(4 j^{2}-1\right)}+\frac{4}{\pi^{2} p}
$$

Here $R(j M)=\min _{l \in \mathbb{Z}}|j M-l p|$. Using the trivial bound $R(j M) \geq 1$ we have

$$
E^{\prime}(p, M) \leq E(p, M) \leq \frac{1}{6 \pi^{2}}+\frac{4}{\pi^{2} p} \leq .018
$$

for $p>364$. Since the sum over $j$ in the asymptotic formula is plainly negative one obtains the result of the lemma (checking small $p$ on a computer).

For certain length intervals, the contribution from the sum over $j$ in (11.2) gives an extra savings on the main term. For instance, when $M=(p \pm 1) / 2$ we get,

Lemma 11.2. For $M=\frac{1}{2}(p \pm 1)$

$$
\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right|=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|\frac{\sin (\pi M y / p)}{\sin (\pi y / p)}\right|=\frac{1}{\pi} \log p+C+E
$$

with

$$
C=\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{8 e^{\gamma}}{\pi}\right)=0.481261 \ldots, \quad-\frac{0.822}{p}<E<-\frac{0.192}{p}
$$

Numerical checking shows that the minimum value of $E=E(p)$ occurs at $p=3$, and so we have uniformly $E \geq E(3)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(24 e^{\gamma-2 \pi / 3} / \pi\right)=-0.1642 \ldots$.

Proof. Rather than appeal to the asymptotic formula above, we give a direct proof. Since

$$
|\sin (\pi M y / p)|= \begin{cases}|\cos (\pi y / 2 p)| & \text { if } y \text { is odd } \\ |\sin (\pi y / 2 p)| & \text { if } y \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right|=\frac{2}{p} \sum_{y=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}\left|\frac{\sin (\pi M y / p)}{\sin (\pi y / p)}\right|=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{y=1 \\ y \text { odd }}}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \frac{1}{\sin (\pi y / 2 p)}+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{y=1 \\ y \text { even }}}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \frac{1}{\cos (\pi y / 2 p)} \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $m_{2}=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{4}(p+1)\right\rfloor$, we have, see [10, Lemma 1],

$$
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{y=1 \\ y \text { odd }}}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \frac{1}{(\pi y / 2 p)}=\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{2}} \frac{1}{2 k-1}=\frac{1}{\pi} \log p+\frac{\gamma}{\pi}+E_{1}
$$

with

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{m_{2}}{p / 4}\right) \leq E_{1} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{m_{2}}{p / 4}\right)+\frac{1}{24 \pi m_{2}^{2}}
$$

Since $1 / \sin u-1 / u$ is increasing on $(0, \pi)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{y=1 \\
y \text { odd }}}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \frac{1}{\sin (\pi y / 2 p)}-\frac{1}{(\pi y / 2 p)} & =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{2}} \frac{1}{\sin (\pi(2 k-1) / 2 p)}-\frac{1}{(\pi(2 k-1) / 2 p)} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{1 / 2}^{p / 4+1 / 2} \frac{1}{\sin (\pi(2 x-1) / 2 p)}-\frac{1}{(\pi(2 x-1) / 2 p)} d x+E_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{8}{\pi}(\sqrt{2}-1)\right)+E_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
-\frac{1}{p}\left(\sqrt{2}-\frac{4}{\pi}\right)\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{4}\right)-m_{2}\right)<E_{2}<\frac{1}{p}\left(\sqrt{2}-\frac{4}{\pi}\right)\left(m_{2}+1-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{4}\right)\right) .
$$

Similarly, writing $m_{1}=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{4}(p-1)\right\rfloor$, since $1 / \cos x$ is increasing on $(0, \pi / 2)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{y=1 \\
y \text { even }}}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \frac{1}{\cos (\pi y / 2 p)} & =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} \frac{1}{\cos (\pi l / p)} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{p / 4} \frac{1}{\cos (\pi x / p)} d x+E_{3}=\frac{1}{\pi} \log (\sqrt{2}+1)+E_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{4}-m_{1}\right)<E_{3}<-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{p}\left(m_{1}+1-\frac{p}{4}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|a_{I}(y)\right|=\frac{1}{\pi} \log p+\frac{\gamma}{\pi}+\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{8}{\pi}(\sqrt{2}-1)\right)+\frac{1}{\pi} \log (\sqrt{2}+1)+E
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{m_{2}}{p / 4}\right)+\frac{1}{24 \pi m_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1}{p}\left(\sqrt{2}-\frac{4}{\pi}\right)\left(m_{2}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{p}{4}\right)-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{p}\left(m_{1}+1-\frac{p}{4}\right) \\
& =-\left(1+\frac{2}{\pi}-\sqrt{2}\right) \frac{1}{p}+\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{\pi}(\log (1-1 / p)+1 / p)+\frac{2}{3 \pi(p-1)^{2}}, & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & \bmod 4, \\
\frac{1}{\pi}(\log (1+1 / p)-1 / p)+\frac{2}{3 \pi(p+1)^{2}}, & \text { if } p \equiv 3 & \bmod 4,
\end{array}\right. \\
& <-\frac{0.192}{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{m_{2}}{p / 4}\right)-\frac{1}{p}\left(\sqrt{2}-\frac{4}{\pi}\right)\left(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2}-m_{2}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{4}-m_{1}\right) \\
& =-\left(\sqrt{2}-\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \frac{1}{p}+ \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\pi}(\log (1-1 / p)+1 / p), & \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4, \\
\frac{1}{\pi}(\log (1+1 / p)-1 / p), & \text { if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4,\end{cases} \\
& >-\frac{0.822}{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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